

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DAT	TE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/718,840	11/21/200)3	Frank W. Perrella	SHINE001-US	1480
24222	2590 12/	/14/2004		EXAMINER	
MAINE & ASMUS 100 MAIN STREET			ASINOVSKY, OLGA		
P O BOX 3445				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NASHUA, NH 03061-3445				1711	

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			V
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Communication	10/718,840	PERRELLA, FRANK W.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Olga Asinovsky	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D. (35.U.S.C. 8.133)	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 No</u>	ovember 2003.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-50 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 November 2003 is/ar		ad to britis Francis	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction		• •	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pall All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>Mar26, 2004</u>. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	e tent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/718,840

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-31 and 46-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilson et al U.S. Patent 3,806,498 in view of Dabi U.S. Patent 4,645,789.
- 3. Wilson discloses a curable composition comprising a curable polymer having terminal, pendant, or both terminal and pendant free acid groups and a curing agent such as a polyfunctional aziridine-containing compound, column 1, line 70 through column 2, line 50. The polymers having carboxyl functional groups include polybutadiene, polychloroprene, and butadiene/acrylonitrile copolymer, column 5, lines 11-23. These polymers are readable in applicant's claimed polymer (=rubber latex) containing carboxyl functional groups. The carboxyl functional group is readable in applicant's claims 11 and 18. The polyfunctional aziridine compound is readable in applicant's claimed a polyfunctional crosslinking agent. The polymer having free acid groups is in a liquid stage, column 4, lines 7-11, for the present claim 3. The curing time depends on the nature of the polymer having free acid groups to be cured, the curing agent and the curing temperature, column 5, lines 56-65. The statement in the present claims that a crosslinking=curing agent is "timely added to the compound" is inherent in

Application/Control Number: 10/718,840

Art Unit: 1711

Wilson's invention because an amount of cross-linked agent is depending on the desired cross-linked degree. An amount is sufficient to give one acid equivalent per aziridine equivalent, column 5, lines 74-75, for obtaining a very flexible elastomer, column 6, line 1. The resulting tensile strength and elongation% are depending on the curing time and the temperature process conditions, column 6, lines 33-41.

4. Wilson does not disclose a coreactant polyelectrolyte having a relatively low molecular weight in the present claims. However, Wilson discloses that there is no upper limit to the number of free acid groups in the polymer chain. The more free acid groups per polymer chain, the more tightly cross-linked is in the resulting product, column 4, lines 14-20. Therefore, the other polymer having high or low molecular weight and having free acid groups being compatible=coreactant with the main polymer would be expected in Wilson's invention.

Dabi discloses carboxylic polyelectrolytes capable of being crosslinked with crosslinking agents having at least two 1-aziridinyl groups, column 3, lines 27-28, 36-46 and 54-60 and column 4, lines 18-68. The choice of molecular weight for the polyelectrolyte may vary over the wide range from 10,000 to 1,000,000 depending on the desired properties of the finished crosslinked product, column 4, lines 25-37.

Both references disclose the same polyfunctional crosslinking agents using for crosslinking carboxylated polymers.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the curable composition in Wilson by employing an additional carboxylic polyelectrolyte having high

Art Unit: 1711

or low molecular weight as disclosed by Dabi because the additional polymer having carboxylic groups would be expected in Wilson as a benefit to regulate the crosslinking degree in the resulting product, column 4, lines 14-20.

5. Claims 32-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilson et al U.S.Patent 3,806,498 in view of Dabi U.S. Patent 4,645,789 as applied to claims 1-31 and 46-50 above, and further in view of Briden U.S. Patent 4,605,698.

Wilson and Dabi do not disclose a method for dip-forming rubber product.

Briden discloses a coating composition comprising crosslinkable carboxylated polymer and at least one polyfunctional aziridine crosslinking agent. The composition can be used for dip-forming coating, column 4, lines 27-30.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a dip-forming coating process as disclosed by Briden for each composition in Wilson and Dabi invention as a convention method for applying a coating.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art is relevant to show the state of the art knowledge.

Application/Control Number: 10/718,840

Art Unit: 1711

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olga Asinovsky whose telephone number is 571-272-1066. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

0 .H December 08, 2004 Olga Asinovsky Examiner Art Unit 1711

James J. Seidleck Supervisory Patent Examinar Technology Center 1700